

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/827,091	04/19/2004	Paul E. Weiss	3083P2768 1870			
23504 75	590 04/07/2005		EXAMINER			
WEISS & MOY PC 4204 NORTH BROWN AVENUE			ALIE, GHASSEM			
SCOTTSDALE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3724			
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/200	DATE MAIL ED: 04/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				SP		
	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/827,09	1	WEISS, PAUL E.			
Office Action Summar	y Examiner		Art Unit			
	Ghassem	Alie	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM  - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this lif the period for reply specified above is less than the lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maxin  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70-	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve s communication. hirty (30) days, a reply within the statu num statutory period will apply and will or reply will, by statute, cause the appli onths after the mailing date of this cor	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.		
Status						
1) Responsive to communication (	s) filed on <u>24 January 2005</u>	<u>5</u> .		•		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the p	practice under Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.	•		
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 14-17</u> is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s)  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7, 8, and 14-17</u> is  7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>6 and 9</u> is/are objected.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to r	_ is/are withdrawn from cor /are rejected. d to.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 April Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is object.	2004 is/are: a) accepted objection to the drawing(s) but luding the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		·		

Application/Control Number: 10/827,091 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-9) on 01/24/05 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 10-13 that were directed to the non-elected invention have been cancelled.

New claims 14-17 have been presented that will be examined with claims 1-9.

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "16" on page 6, line 14.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/827,091

Art Unit: 3724

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 3

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 14, and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shuman (3,636,408). Regarding claims 1 and 14, Shuman teaches a tape dispensing device 10 including a body member 13-15 which houses a roll of tape 12. The body is defined by L-shaped housing that houses roll of tape 12. Shuman also teaches a cutting device 22 coupled to one end of body member 13-15 for cutting a piece of tape 12a from the roll of tape. Shuman also teaches a neutralizing device 26 coupled to body member 13-15 and next to cutting device 22 for neutralizing a static charge from piece of tape 12a. See Figs. 1-8 and col. 4, lines 9-75 and col. 5, lines1-63 in Shuman.

Regarding claims 2 and 15, Shuman teaches everything noted above including that body member 13-15 includes an L-shaped base, a cavity formed in an interior section of the L-shaped base, and a holding mechanism 20 for holding roll of tape 12 in the cavity while allowing roll of tape 12 to rotate when tape is being dispensed. See Fig. 1 in Shuman.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3724

Claim 3, 4, 7, 8, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 6. over Shuman in view of Alexanber (2,151,064). Regarding claims 3, 4, 7, 16, and 17, Shuman teaches everything noted above including except that the cutting device is a dual direction cutting device including a channeling having an opening which allows the tape to pass through, a first cutting surface located on a bottom edge of the channeling, and a second cutting surface located on a top edge of the channeling. However, the use of a dual direction cutting device in dispensers are well known in the art such as taught by Alexander. Alexander teaches a dispenser 1 capable of dispensing tape having a dual direction cutting device 12. Alexander also teaches that dual direction cutting device 12 includes a channeling having an opening which allows the tape to pass through, a first cutting surface 13 located on a bottom edge of the channeling, and a second cutting surface 13 located on a top edge of the channeling. See Figs. 1-3 and col. 1, lines 1-55 and col. 2, lines 1-30 in Alexander. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to replace the cutting mechanism of Shuman's tape dispenser with the dual direction cutting mechanism as taught by Alexander in order to facilitate the cutting operations of the tape by enabling the user to cut a desired quantity of tape either by downward movement of the tape against the bottom cutting edge of the cutting device or by upward movement of the tape against the top cutting edge of the cutting device.

Regarding claim 8, Shuman teaches everything noted above including that body member 13-15 includes an L-shaped base, a cavity formed in an interior section of the L-shaped base, and a holding mechanism 20 for holding roll of tape 12 in the cavity while allowing roll of tape 12 to rotate when tape is being dispensed. See Fig. 1 in Shuman.

Art Unit: 3724

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shuman in view of McGarry et al. (Re. 35,214). Regarding claim 5, Shuman teaches everything noted above except that the neutralizing device is a magnet. However, the use of magnet as a neutralizing device is web dispensers or the like is well known in the art such as taught by McGarry. McGarry teaches a web dispenser including a neutralizing mechanism 10 that includes magnet 16. See Figs. 1-4 and col. 1, lines 15-33 and col. 2, lines 9-67 and col. 3, lines 1-55 in McGarry. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to replace the neutralizing mechanism of Shuman's tape dispenser with the neutralizing mechanism having a magnet as taught by McGarry in order to remove alternatively the static charged build-up on the tape by a magnetic field.

# Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 6 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to teach that the neutralizing device includes a first magnet coupled to the body member and next to the first cutting surface and a second magnet coupled to the body member and next to the second cutting surface as set forth in claims 6 and 9.

## Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Graham (2,695,208), Hu (4,844,368), Huang (6,672,532), Chandaria et al. (2004/0060956),

Art Unit: 3724

Domeny (3,470,781), Hanlon (2,889,975), Freedman (3,480,190) teach a dual cutting device.

Page 6

Rodrigo (4,860,159) and Huang (6,641,081), teaches a neutralizing device.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ghassem Alie whose telephone number is (571) 272-4501. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on (571) 272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, SEE <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (too-free).

GA/ga

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700

March 25, 2005